Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/879,745	SPERONELLO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754	

All Participants:

(1) *Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen*.

(2) *Mr. Gregory Turocy*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *22 December 2006*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
23

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner's amendment was proposed to put case in allowable condition. Authorization was given by Mr. Turocy.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)